## FOSS DECLARATION EXHIBIT 9

HARRIS BEACH ∄

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

SUITE 2500 ONE GATEWAY CENTER NEWARK, NJ 07102 (973) 848-1244

**KELLY JONES HOWELL** 

DIRECT: (212) 912-3652 FAX: (212) 687-0659 KHOWELL@HARRISBEACH.COM

April 12, 2019

**VIA ECF** 

Honorable Michael A. Shipp U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey Clarkson S. Fisher Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse 402 East State Street Trenton, New Jersey 08608

Re: NewMarket Pharmaceuticals, LLC v. VetPharm, Inc., et al.,

Civil Action No. 3:17-cv-01852-MAS-TJB

Dear Judge Shipp:

We submit this letter in response to opposing counsel's letter filed yesterday (ECF Doc. No. 110), which appears to request that the Court recall the parties' dispute from arbitration before the American Arbitration Association ("AAA"), where it is currently pending, and refer it to Magistrate Judge Bongiovanni for adjudication.

Mr. Pisano, the signatory of the NewMarket's letter, has apparently been misinformed concerning VetPharm's position. Contrary to the assertion in his letter, VetPharm does not intend to "terminate the arbitration." Rather, VetPharm has made it clear—both to AAA and to NewMarket—that it intends to participate in the arbitration and to seek a final award disposing of NewMarket's claim.

It is NewMarket that has attempted to frustrate the arbitration by refusing in advance to pay its share of the arbitration fees. AAA's Commercial Arbitration Rules, which govern the parties' dispute, expressly provide that one remedy for a claimant's nonpayment is an order "limiting a party's ability to assert or pursue [its] claim." R-57(b). Such a remedy would allow VetPharm to obtain a final, confirmable arbitration award in its favor that disposes of NewMarket's damages claim with prejudice. Under AAA's rules, NewMarket would retain the ability to fully defend against VetPharm's counterclaim.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If the arbitrator agrees with VetPharm's request, the result would be a final adjudication on the merits—unlike in *Tillman v. Tillman*, 825 F.3d 1069 (9th Cir. 2016), where the arbitrator merely suspended the arbitration without issuing any award or judgment.

Case 3:17-cv-01852-MAS-TJB Document 111 Filed 04/12/19 Page 2 of 2 PageID: 1518

Honorable Michael A. Shipp April 12, 2019 Page 2 HARRIS BEACH F

Whether such a measure will be appropriate in these circumstances is solely a question for the arbitrator to decide, if and when the question is presented for his decision. Until then, the conduct of the arbitration should be left to AAA and the parties' chosen arbitrator. VetPharm respectfully requests that the Court direct NewMarket and its counsel to desist from making serial applications to this Court on matters related to the arbitration. (See ECF Nos. 103, 107, 110.)

We thank the Court for its attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

HARRIS BEACH PLLC

/s/ Kelly Jones Howell

By: Kelly Jones Howell

Copies: All counsel of record (via ECF)